

Creating Customer Value in Participating Life Insurance

Nadine Gatzert, Ines Holzmüller, and Hato Schmeiser

March 2009

1. Introduction

- Participating life insurance contracts contain numerous guarantees and options:
 - E.g., minimum interest rate guarantee, guaranteed annual surplus participation, terminal bonus payment,...
- Appropriate pricing is crucial for insurer's stability
- Two perspectives for valuation: policyholder and insurer
- Derived prices on contract level (including embedded options) must meet customer demand

Creating Customer Value

- Insurer perspective:
 - Present value calculation (risk-neutral or fair valuation): based on insurer's ability to duplicate cash flows
- Policyholder perspective:
 - May not be able to duplicate claims via capital market instruments
 - Contract valuation is generally based on individual preferences
 - Willingness to pay (customer value) will be different from fair premium calculated by insurance company

Creating Customer Value

- Literature
- Aim of this paper
 - Combine perspective of insurer and policyholder
 - Identify contract parameters – guaranteed interest rate, annual and terminal surplus participation – leading to a 1) fixed fair contract value (from the insurer's perspective) and 2) will maximize customer value
 - Distinguish between deterministic and stochastic basis wealth of policyholder (different degree of diversification)

2. Life Insurance Contract and Valuation

- Standard Black-Scholes setting
- Assets $A(t)$ follow geometric Brownian motion
- Policyholders pay up-front premium $P_0 (= \beta \cdot A_0)$
- Equityholders make initial contribution $Eq_0 (= (1 - \beta) \cdot A_0)$
- Total initial payments invested in assets $A_0 = P_0 + Eq_0$
- $P(t)$ policyholder account

$$P(t) = P(t-1) \cdot (1 + g) + \max \left[\alpha \cdot \gamma \cdot (A(t) - A(t-1)) - g \cdot P(t-1), 0 \right]$$

Guaranteed
interest rate

Annual surplus
participation rate

Relation of book to market values:
build up hidden reserves



Creating Customer Value

- Terminal bonus participation:

$$B(T) = \delta \cdot \max(\beta \cdot A(T) - P(T), 0)$$

- Default put option (insurer may get insolvent):

$$D(T) = \max(P(T) - A(T), 0)$$

- Final payoffs

- Policyholders' payoff:

$$L(T) = P(T) + \delta \cdot B(T) - D(T)$$

- Equityholders' payoff:

$$Eq(T) = A(T) - L(T) = \max(A(T) - P(T), 0) - \delta \cdot B(T)$$



Creating Customer Value

- Insurer perspective
- Risk-neutral valuation (under pricing measure Q)
$$\Pi^* = E^{\mathbb{Q}}(e^{-rT} \cdot L(T)) = E^{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT} \cdot (P(T) + \delta \cdot B(T))] - E^{\mathbb{Q}}(e^{-rT} \cdot D(T)) = \Pi - \Pi^{DPO}$$
- Fair contracts: calibrate (g, α, δ) to satisfy $\Pi^* = P_0$
- Provides lower end of premium agreement range
- Necessary premium to conduct adequate risk management measures (e.g., equity capital)
- Infinite number of contract specifications with same fair value, but different customer value

Creating Customer Value

- Customer perspective
 - In general, there are very different ways to derive the customer value of a life insurance contract
 - E.g., mean-variance preferences (under real-world measure P)
 - Determine upper end of premium agreement area, i.e., customer's willingness to pay P_0^Φ using the following preference function:

$$\Phi = E(Z_T) - \frac{a}{2} \cdot \sigma^2(Z_T)$$

- Z is policyholder's wealth, a is the degree of risk aversion ($a > 0$)

Creating Customer Value

- Derivation of customer's willingness to pay P_0^Φ
- Compare preference functions for case without insurance (WI)

$$\Phi^{NI} = E(Z_T^{NI}) - \frac{a}{2} \cdot \sigma^2(Z_T^{NI}), \quad Z_0^{NI} = Z_0$$

and with insurance (NI)

$$\Phi^{WI} = E(Z_T^{WI} + L(T)) - \frac{a}{2} \cdot \sigma^2(Z_T^{WI} + L(T)), \quad Z_0^{WI} = Z_0 - P_0^\Phi$$

- Maximum willingness to pay is price at which customer becomes indifferent between the two cases:

$$\Phi^{WI} = \Phi^{NI}$$

Creating Customer Value

- Deterministic wealth (policyholder cannot diversify)
- Invest in risk-free asset or purchase life insurance

$$Z(t) = Z_0 e^{rt}$$

$$P_0^\Phi = e^{-rT} \cdot \left[E(L(T)) - \frac{a}{2} \cdot \sigma^2(L(T)) \right]$$

- Stochastic wealth (policyholder can diversify):
- Invest in stochastic assets or purchase life insurance

$$Z(t) = Z(t-1) \cdot \exp \left[\mu_Z - \sigma_Z^2 / 2 + \sigma_Z (W_Z(t) - W_Z(t-1)) \right], \quad dW_A dW_Z = \rho dt$$

$$P_0^\Phi = \left[\frac{\frac{1}{a} \cdot E(\tilde{Z}_T) - \text{Cov}(Z_T^N, \tilde{Z}_T) - \text{Cov}(\tilde{Z}_T, L(T))}{\sigma^2(\tilde{Z}_T)} \right]^2 - \frac{\sigma^2(L(T)) + 2 \cdot \text{Cov}(Z_T^N, L(T)) - \frac{2}{a} \cdot E(L(T))}{\sigma^2(\tilde{Z}_T)} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{a} \cdot E(\tilde{Z}_T) - \text{Cov}(Z_T^N, \tilde{Z}_T) - \text{Cov}(\tilde{Z}_T, L(T))}{\sigma^2(\tilde{Z}_T)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

3. Creating Customer Value

- Customer value differs, even though contracts have the same fair value (based on risk-neutral valuation)
- Idea: keep contracts fair from insurer perspective:

$$\underbrace{P_0^\Phi \rightarrow \max_{g, \alpha, \delta}}_{\substack{\text{Customer value} \\ \text{under the real-world measure } \mathbb{P}}} \quad \text{such that} \quad \underbrace{P_0 = \Pi^*(g, \alpha, \delta) = E^{\mathbb{Q}}(e^{-rT} \cdot L(T))}_{\substack{\text{Fair contract} \\ \text{under the risk-neutral measure } \mathbb{Q}}}.$$

- For fixed nominal premium, choose fair combination (g, α, δ) that leads to highest customer value, while providing at least a risk-adequate returns for shareholders

4. Numerical Examples

- Input parameters
 - Risk-free rate $r = 4.46\%$
 - Asset drift $\mu_A = 7\%$,
 - Volatility of assets $\sigma_A = 6\%$
 - Fair premium $P_0 = 100$
 - Contribution of equityholders $Eq_0 = 30$
 - Relation of book to market values $\gamma = 50\%$
 - Time to maturity $T = 10$

Creating Customer Value

Table 1: Fair contracts and corresponding customer value for deterministic and stochastic wealth.

Fair contract parameters (insurer perspective)					Customer value P_0^{CP} (policyholder perspective)					
Guaranteed interest rate (g)	Terminal participation rate (δ)	Annual participation rate (α)	Π^*	Shortfall probability	Part A: deterministic ($a = 0.0685$)	Part B: stochastic ($a = 0.0105$)	$\rho = 0.9$	$\sigma_Z = 8\%$	$Z_0 = 200$	$a = 0.0685$
Panel A: Contract with regulatory restrictions:										
2.25%	68%	90%	100	0.02%	100.0	100.0	96.6	106.7	104.2	133.7
Panel B: Simple contracts with one parameter only:										
4.56%	0%	0%	100	0.69%	100.9	85.4	85.3	91.7	89.0	133.8
0.00%	99.89%	0%	100	0.02%	96.5	104.1	100.3	110.9	108.4	130.6
0.00%	0%	130%	100	0.09%	101.3	99.9	96.7	106.7	104.1	134.7
Panel C: Maximizing customer value:										
2.00%	0%	113%	100	0.03%	103.5	96.9	94.1	103.5	101.0	136.6
	40%	105%	100	0.02%	101.8	98.7	95.5	105.4	102.8	135.1
	80%	85%	100	0.01%	98.8	101.3	97.7	108.0	105.5	132.5
4.00%	0%	77%	100	0.26%	104.3	91.0	89.3	97.4	94.8	137.2
	40%	58%	100	0.22%	104.2	94.0	91.6	100.5	97.9	137.2
	50%	47%	100	0.21%	104.0	94.6	92.2	101.2	98.6	137.1
4.30%	0%	67%	100	0.39%	103.7	89.3	88.1	95.7	93.0	136.9
	10%	62%	100	0.39%	104.1	90.0	88.6	96.5	93.8	137.2
	30%	45%	100	0.37%	104.6	91.3	89.6	97.8	95.1	137.6
4.40%	0%	62%	100	0.42%	103.3	87.7	87.5	95.0	92.3	136.6
	25%	37%	100	0.38%	104.4	88.3	88.6	96.4	93.7	137.5
	27%	9%	100	0.38%	104.7	90.1	88.8	96.6	93.9	137.7
4.50%	0%	56%	100	0.45%	102.8	87.7	86.9	94.1	91.4	136.2
	15%	39%	100	0.42%	103.6	88.4	87.4	94.8	92.1	136.8
	17%	26%	100	0.42%	103.7	-	-	-	-	136.9

5. Summary

- Examine how insurers can generate customer value for participating life insurance contracts
- Insurer: preference-free approach of risk-neutral valuation
- Customer value defined as policyholder willingness to pay
 - Calculated based on mean-variance preferences
- First calibrate contract parameters to the same fair value, then derive corresponding customer value of these contracts

Creating Customer Value

- Find that customer value varies substantially, even if contracts have same value
- Customer segmentation is a viable tool for increasing insurer profit and achieving shareholder return above risk-adequate rate
- Design contracts to specifically increase customer value compared to standard contracts
- Preferred contracts may be simple ones (e.g., only one instead of three embedded options)
- Further research: Analyzing the impact of different valuation techniques for policyholders (e.g., regarding the shortfall risk of a contract)

